

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,465	11/01/2001	Bruce A. Phillips	1832 (42059-01350	6760	
22193 759	2193 7590 05/17/2005			EXAMINER	
•	MUNICATIONS INTE	BELLO, A	BELLO, AGUSTIN		
LAW DEPT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO			2633		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/004,465	PHILLIPS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Agustin Bello	2633			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in A Periority documents have beer Iterau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/11/02</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 18-21, 23-26, and 30-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (U.S. Patent No. 5,880,864).

Regarding claims 1, 18, and 30, Williams teaches receiving downstream voice video and data communications in a headend (CO in Figure 1), receiving into a user gateway (reference numeral 102 in Figure 1) located in a Customer Premise, upstream voice video and data communications from customer premise equipment; and providing the downstream voice video and data communications from the headend to the user gateway at a synchronous optical network (SONET) transmission rate (e.g. OC-12 in Figure 7); and providing the upstream voice video and data communications from the user gateway to the headend at the SONET transmission rate (e.g. OC-12 in Figure 7).

Regarding claim 2, Williams teaches providing over an optical communication link (reference numeral 104 in Figure 1) an optical carrier transmission including the downstream voice video and data communications from the headend to an optical to electrical conversion node (reference numeral 101, 102 in Figure 1; Figure 8A); in the optical to electrical conversion node, converting the optical carrier transmission into an electrical equivalent transmission (e.g. DS-1 in Figure 8A); and in the optical to electrical conversion node, providing the electrical equivalent transmission to the user gateway (as seen in Figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,465

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 3, Williams teaches in the user gateway, providing the electrical equivalent of the optical carrier transmission including the upstream voice video and data communications to the optical to electrical conversion node (reference numeral 101, 102 in Figure 1); in the optical to electrical conversion node, converting the electrical equivalent of the optical carrier transmission rate to the optical carrier transmission rate (e.g. OC-12); and in the optical to electrical conversion node, providing the optical carrier transmission to the headend (as seen in Figure 1).

Regarding claims 4, 19, and 31, Williams teaches providing the downstream voice video and data communications using an asynchronous transfer mode protocol (Figure 6, 7, and 8A), and providing the upstream voice video and data communications from the user gateway to the headend using the asynchronous transfer mode protocol (Figure 6, 7, and 8A).

Regarding claims 5, 20, and 32, Williams teaches providing the downstream voice video and data communications from the headend to the user gateway using an internet protocol (e.g. SONET, Ethernet 10 Base T in Figure 1); and providing the upstream voice video and data communications from the user gateway to the headend using the internet protocol (e.g. SONET, Ethernet 10 Base T in Figure 1).

Regarding claims 6, 21, and 37, Williams teaches compressing the upstream video communications using an MPEG format (column 7 lines 47-65; column 9 lines 27-37); and compressing the downstream video communications using the MPEG format (column 7 lines 47-65; column 9 lines 27-37).

Regarding claims 8, 23, and 36, Williams teaches providing the downstream voice video and data communications from the headend to the user gateway using time division multiplexing

(inherent in the SONET protocol), and providing the upstream voice video and data communications from the user gateway to the headend using time division multiplexing (inherent in the SONET protocol).

Regarding claims 9 and 24, Williams teaches OC-12 (Figure 8A)

Regarding claim 10, 25, and 33, Williams teaches STS-12 (e.g. the electrical equivalent inherent from the conversion of the optical signal from optical to electrical).

Regarding claim 11, 26, and 34, Williams teaches the electrical equivalent of the optical carrier transmission rate is a synchronous transfer module transmission (e.g. the electrical equivalent inherent from the conversion of the optical signal from optical to electrical).

Regarding claim 12, Williams teaches receiving a video request for a video transmission from a video device connected to the user gateway; receiving a data request for a data transmission from a data device connected to the user gateway; and receiving upstream voice communications from a call device connected to the user gateway (column 13 line 46 – column 14 line 37).

Regarding claims 38-40, Williams teaches a computer, a television and a telephone (Figure 1; column 13 line 46 – column 14 line 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7, 13-17, 22, 27-29, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams.

Regarding claims 7, 22, and 35, Williams differs from the claimed invention in that Williams fails to specifically teach providing the downstream voice video and data communications from the headend to the user gateway using code division multiplexing, and providing the upstream voice video and data communications from the user gateway to the headend using code division multiplexing. However, code division multiplexing is very well known in the art and Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art of optical communication. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ code division multiplexing in the device of Williams in order multiple signal streams to be transmitted simultaneously. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ code division multiplexing in the device of Williams.

Regarding claims 13, 14, 27, 28, and 29, Williams differs from the claimed invention in that Williams fails to specifically teach providing request to the headend and processing requests at the headend. However, this type of request provision and processing is well known in the art. Williams discloses that it is well known in the art (column 2 lines 24-43). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ this type of request and process scheme in order to allow for the dynamic allocation of bandwidth from the headend. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the request and processing scheme if desired by one skilled in the art.

Regarding claims 15-17, Williams appears to teach that in the user gateway, providing the requested video transmission directly to the video device, wherein the video device is

Application/Control Number: 10/004,465

Art Unit: 2633

Page 6

configured to display the requested video transmission to the user, in the user gateway, providing the requested data transmission directly to the data device, wherein the data device is configured to display the requested data transmission to the user, and exchanging the upstream and downstream voice communications directly between the user gateway and the call device.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Goodman and Rowan disclose relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

AGUSTIN BELLO PATENT EXAMINER